

851-167-1 Practitioner's Docket No.

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: PARATORE, et al

Application No.: 10 / 613,354

July 3, 2003

Group No.: 3732

Examiner: Comstock, David C.

Filed: For:

COSMETICS AND MASCARA BRUSHES WITH MULTI\_FIBER BRISTLES

Mail Stop Amendment **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

WARNING: Failure to file a complete response in compliance with § 1.135(c) leads to a reduction in patent term adjustment — See § 1.704(c)(7).

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

## **STATUS**

2.	Appli	cant	is		
	X	a s	mall entity. A statement:		
			is attached.		
			was already filed.		
		oth	er than a small entity.		
			(When using Express Mail, the	ER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* a Express Mail label number is mandatory; il certification is optional.)	
l he	reby cer	tify tha	at, on the date shown below, the	his correspondence is being:	
				MAILING	
Ä	deposite Box 145	d with	the United States Postal Servic xandria, VA 22313-1450	e in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for	Patents, P.O.
		37	C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *	
Ø	with suff	icient	postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addresse	<b>:e"</b>
				Mailing Label No.	(mandatory)
			TR	ANSMISSION	
	facsimile	transi	mitted to the Patent and Trade	mark Office, (703)	
				Janet Gaffre	1
Date	3/4	<i>Ļ</i> 05	-	Signature Tanet Gaffney	7
				(type or print name of person certifying)	
. 0-					

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 1 of 4)

<sup>\*</sup> Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

#### **EXTENSION OF TERM**

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) ". . .an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)	 or other than	-	ee for all entity
one month two months three months four months	120.00 450.00 1020.00 1590.00	\$ \$ \$	60.00 225.00 510.00 795.00
	Fee:	\$ 225.0	00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

An extension for	months has already been secured. The fee								
paid therefor of \$	is deducted	from the	total fee	due for the	e total				
months of extension now req	juested.								
<b>-</b>									

Extension fee due with this request

OR

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this is a conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 2 of 4)

# FEE FOR CLAIMS

		(Col. 1)			(Col. 2)	(C	ol. 3	)	SI	MAL	L ENTIT	Υ				THAN A ENTITY
		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		PA	GHEST NO EVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRE EX	SEN		RA	ΤE	ADDI FEE		OR	RAT	E	ADDIT. FEE
ТОТА	L .	20	MINUS	••	20	=	x	\$2	25	=	\$	x		\$50	= ;	ş 0
INDEF	٠. •	1	MINUS	•••	1	=	x	\$10	00	=	\$	х	\$	200	= :	B 0
☐ FIR	ST P	PRESENTATIO	N OF MUL	TIPLE	DEP. CLAI	М	x	\$18	 30		\$		\$	360	· · · · · ·	0
							Α	T TIDO.	OT . F		\$	•	OR	TOT ADD FEE	AL IT. S	\$ 0
<i>WAI</i> (c)	box RNIN		prior amen nl rejection o requirement (C	ndmei or acti t of fo comp	nt or the nu ion (§ 1.113) orm which h	mber o ) amend pas beer r (d), a	f cla Imer n ma	ims nts m ade."	ay i 37	inal be n C.F	y filed. nade cai F.R. § 1	ncelli	ng c	claims :	or co	omplying
						OR										
(d)		Total add	itional fe	e for	claims re	equire	d \$				-		-•			
					FEE P	AYM	EN'	T								
<b>£</b> ₹		ached is a thorization to Deposi to Credit form PTO	is hereby t Accoun card as s	mad nt No	de to cha	rge th	e a	moı 	unt	of	\$	<u> </u>	_			zation
WAR		: Credit card												•		
	Cha mar	arge any ac nner author	lditional f rized abo	ees ve.	required t	oy this	ра	per	or	cre	edit an	y o	/erp	oaym	ent	in the
	A d	uplicate of	this pap	er is	attached											
							(	(Ame	ndr	nen	t Transn	nittal	19-	191—a	age	3 of 4)

## **FEE DEFICIENCY**

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6.	X	If any	additional	extension	and/or	fee is	required,	charge	Account
		No	23-0442		<u> </u>				

#### AND/OR

(X)	If any	additional	fee for	claims	is required,	charge Account
	No	23-0442				

Reg. No.: 25,865

Tel. No.: (203 ) 261-1234

Customer No.: 004955

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

James R. Frederick

Ware, Fressola, Van Der Sluys & Adolphson, LLP

(type or print name of practitioner)

Pradford Green, Building Five 55 Main Street, P.O. Box 120

P.O. Address

Monroe, CT 06468

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 4 of 4)